

# ARCHER Single Node Optimisation

---

Profiling



# What is profiling?

- Analysing your code to find out the proportion of execution time spent in different routines.
- Essential to know this if we are going to target optimisation.
- No point optimising routines that don't significantly contribute to the overall execution time.
  - can just make your code less readable/maintainable

# Code profiling

- Code profiling is the first step for anyone interested in performance optimisation
- Profiling works by instrumenting code at compile time
  - Thus it's (usually) controlled by compiler flags
  - Can reduce performance
- Standard profiles return data on:
  - Number of function calls
  - Amount of time spent in sections of code
- Also tools that will return hardware specific data
  - Cache misses, TLB misses, cache re-use, flop rate, etc...
  - Useful for in-depth performance optimisation

# Sampling and tracing

- Many profilers work by sampling the program counter at regular intervals (normally 100 times per second).
  - low overhead, little effect on execution time
- Builds a statistical picture of which routines the code is spending time in.
  - if the run time is too small ( $< \sim 10$  seconds) there aren't enough samples for good statistics
- Tracing can get more detailed information by recording some data (e.g. time stamp) at entry/exit to functions
  - higher overhead, more effect on runtime
  - unrestrained use can result in huge output files

# Standard Unix profilers

- Standard Unix profilers are `prof` and `gprof`
- Many other profiling tools use same formats
- Usual compiler flags are `-p` and `-pg`:
  - `ftn -p mycode.F90 -o myprog` for `prof`
  - `cc -pg mycode.c -o myprog` for `gprof`
- When code is run it produces instrumentation log
  - `mon.out` for `prof`
  - `gmon.out` for `gprof`
- Then run `prof/gprof` *on your executable program*
  - eg. `gprof myprog` (*not* `gprof gmon.out`)

# Standard profilers

- `prof myprog` reads `mon.out` and produces this:

%Time	Seconds	Cumsecs	#Calls	msec/call	Name
32.4	0.71	0.71	14	50.7	<code>relax_</code>
28.3	0.62	1.33	14	44.3	<code>resid_</code>
11.4	0.25	1.58	3	83.	<code>__f90_close</code>
5.9	0.13	1.71	1629419	0.0001	<code>_mcount</code>
5.0	0.11	1.82	339044	0.0003	<code>__f90_slr_i4</code>
5.0	0.11	1.93	167045	0.0007	<code>__inrange_single</code>
2.7	0.06	1.99	507	0.12	<code>_read</code>
2.7	0.06	2.05	1	60.	<code>MAIN_</code>

# Standard profilers

- `gprof myprog` reads `gmon.out` and produces something very similar
- `gprof` also produces a program calltree sorted by inclusive times
- Both profilers list all routines, including obscure system ones
  - Of note: `mcount()`, `_mcount()`, `moncontrol()`, `_moncontrol()` `monitor()` and `_monitor()` are all overheads of the profiling implementation itself
  - `_mcount()` is called every time your code calls a function; if it's high in the profile, it can indicate high function-call overhead
  - `gprof` assumes calls to a routine from different parents take the same amount of time – may not be true

# The Golden Rules of profiling

- **Profile your code**
  - The compiler/runtime will **NOT** do all the optimisation for you.
- **Profile your code yourself**
  - Don't believe what anyone tells you. They're wrong.
- **Profile on the hardware you want to run on**
  - Don't profile on your laptop if you plan to run on ARCHER.
- **Profile your code running the full-sized problem**
  - The profile will almost certainly be qualitatively different for a test case.
- **Keep profiling your code as you optimise**
  - Concentrate your efforts on the thing that slows your code down.
  - This will change as you optimise.
  - So keep on profiling.

# CrayPAT

- Can do both statistic sampling and function/loop level tracing.

Recommended usage:

1. Build and instrument code
2. Run code and get statistic profile
3. Re-instrument based on profile
4. Re-run code to get more detailed tracing

# Example with CrayPAT (1/2)

- Load performance tools software  
`module load perftools-base`  
`module load perftools`
- Re-build application (keep .o files)  
`make clean`  
`make`
- Instrument application for automatic profiling analysis
  - You should get an instrumented program a.out+pat  
`pat_build -O apa a.out`
- Run the instrumented application (...+pat) to get top time consuming routines
  - You should get a performance file (“<sdatafile>.xf”) or multiple files in a directory <sdadir>

# Example with CrayPAT (2/2)

- Generate text report and an .apa instrumentation file  
`pat_report [<sdatafile>.xf | <sdatadir>]`
  - Inspect the .apa file and sampling report whether additional instrumentation is needed
    - See especially sites “Libraries to trace” and “HWPC group to collect”
- Instrument application for further analysis (a.out+apa)  
`pat_build -O <apafilename>.apa`
- Run application (...+apa)
- Generate text report and visualization file (.ap2)  
`pat_report -o my_text_report.txt <data>`
- View report in text and/or with Cray Apprentice<sup>2</sup>  
`app2 <datafile>.ap2`

# Finding single-core hotspots

- Remember: pay attention only to user routines that consume significant portion of the total time
- View the key hardware counters, for example
  - L1 and L2 cache metrics
  - use of vector (SSE/AVX) instructions

- CrayPAT has mechanisms for finding “the” hotspot in a routine (e.g. in case the routine contains several and/or long loops)
  - CrayPAT API
    - Possibility to give labels to “PAT regions”
  - Loop statistics (works only with Cray compiler)
    - Compile & link with CCE using -h profile\_generate
    - pat\_report will generate loop statistics if the flag is enabled

USER / remap_					
Time%			25.2%		
Time			15.801180	secs	
Imb. Time			2.582609	secs	
Imb. Time%			14.7%		
Calls	0.026M/sec		460,800.0	calls	
CPU_CLK_UNHALTED:THREAD_P			77,964,376,624		
CPU_CLK_UNHALTED:REF_P			2,689,572,161		
DTLB_LOAD_MISSES:MISS_CAUSES_A_WALK			20,626,569		
DTLB_STORE_MISSES:MISS_CAUSES_A_WALK			17,745,058		
L1D:REPLACEMENT			2,753,483,367		
L2_RQSTS:ALL_DEMAND_DATA_RD			1,912,839,218		
L2_RQSTS:DEMAND_DATA_RD_HIT			1,757,495,428		
FP_COMP_OPS_EXE:SSE_SCALAR_DOUBLE			1,597		
FP_COMP_OPS_EXE:SSE_FP_SCALAR_SINGLE			1,556,036,610		
FP_COMP_OPS_EXE:X87			1,878,388,524		
FP_COMP_OPS_EXE:SSE_PACKED_SINGLE			302,976,589		
SIMD_FP_256:PACKED_SINGLE			5,003,127,724		
User time (approx)	17.476 secs		47,202,147,918	cycles	100.0% Time
CPU_CLK	2.90GHz				
HW FP Ops / User time	2,556.183M/sec		44,671,354,883	ops	11.8% peak(DP)
Total SP ops	2,448.698M/sec		42,792,964,761	ops	
Total DP ops	107.485M/sec		1,878,390,122	ops	
MFLOPS (aggregate)	61,348.39M/sec				
D2 cache hit,miss ratio	94.4% hits		5.6% misses		
D2 to D1 bandwidth	6,680.690MiB/sec		122,421,709,963	bytes	
Average Time per Call			0.000034	secs	
CrayPat Overhead : Time	11.4%				

Flat profile data

HW counter values

Derived metrics

# Hardware performance counters

- CrayPAT can interface with Cray XC30's HWPCs
  - Gives extra information on how hardware is behaving
  - Very useful for understanding (& optimising) application performance
- Provides information on
  - hardware features, e.g. caches, vectorisation and memory bandwidth
- Available on per-program and per-function basis
  - Per-function information only available through tracing
- Number of simultaneous counters limited by hardware
  - 4 counters available with Intel Ivybridge processors
  - If you need more, you'll need multiple runs
- Most counters accessed through the PAPI interface
  - Either native counters or derived metrics constructed from these

# Hardware counters selection

- HWPCs collected using CrayPAT
  - Compile and instrument code for profiling as before
- Set `PAT_RT_PERFCTR` environment variable at runtime
  - e.g. in the job script
    - Hardware counter events are **not** collected by default (except with APA)
- `export PAT_RT_PERFCTR=...`
  - either a list of named PAPI counters
  - or `<set number>` = a pre-defined (and useful) set of counters
    - recommended way to use HWPCs
    - there are 15 groups to choose from
      - To see them:
        - `pat_help -> counters -> ivybridge -> groups`
        - `man hwpc`
        - `more ${CRAYPAT_ROOT}/share/CounterGroups.intel_fam6mod62`

Technical term for Ivybridge

# Predefined Ivybridge HW Counter Groups

Default is number 1 with CrayPAT APA procedure

- 0: D1 with instruction counts
- 1: Summary -- FP and cache metrics
- 2: D1, D2, L3 Metrics
- 6: Micro-op queue stalls
- 7: Back end stalls
- 8: Instructions and branches
- 9: Instruction cache
- 10: Cache Hierarchy
- 11: Floating point operations dispatched
- 12: AVX floating point operations
- 13: SSE and AVX floating point operations SP
- 14: SSE and AVX floating point operations DP
- 19: Prefetchs
- 23: FP and cache metrics (same as 1)

# Example: Group 2

```
USER / sweepy_
-----
Time%                               14.6%
Time                               8.738150 secs
Imb. Time                          3.077320 secs
Imb. Time%                          27.2%
Calls                               100.0 calls
Calls                               11.547 /sec
CPU_CLK_UNHALTED:THREAD_P          92,754,888,918
CPU_CLK_UNHALTED:REF_P             2,759,876,135
L1D:REPLACEMENT                   1,813,741,166
L2_RQSTS:ALL_DEMAND_DATA_RD       1,891,459,700
L2_RQSTS:DEMAND_DATA_RD_HIT       1,644,133,800
LLC_MISSES                         98,952,928
LLC_REFERENCES                    690,626,471
User time (approx)                 8.660 secs
CPU_CLK                            3.36GHz
User time (approx)                 23,390,899,520 cycles 100.0% Time
CPU_CLK                            3.36GHz
D2 cache hit,miss ratio 86.4% hits 13.6% misses
L3 cache hit,miss ratio 85.7% hits 14.3% misses
D2 to D1 bandwidth 13,330.757MiB/sec 121,053,420,792 bytes
Average Time per Call              0.087381 secs
CrayPat Overhead : Time 0.0% ...
```

# Interpreting the performance numbers

- Performance numbers are an average over all ranks
  - explains non-integer values
- This does not always make sense
  - e.g. if ranks are not all doing the same thing:
    - Master-slave schemes
    - MPMD apruns combining multiple, different programs
- Want them to only process data for certain ranks
  - `pat_report -sfilter_input='condition' ...`
  - `condition` should be an expression involving `pe`, e.g.
    - `pe<1024` for the first 1024 ranks only
    - `pe%2==0` for every second rank

# OpenMP data collection and reporting

- Give finer-grained profiling of threaded routines
  - Measure overhead incurred entering and leaving
    - Parallel regions
      - `#pragma omp parallel`
    - Work-sharing constructs within parallel regions
      - `#pragma omp for`
- Timings and other data now shown per-thread
  - rather than per-rank
- OpenMP tracing enabled with `pat_build -gomp ...`
  - CCE: insert tracing points around parallel regions automatically
  - Intel, Gnu: need to use CrayPAT API manually

# OpenMP data collection and reporting

- Load imbalance for hybrid MPI/OpenMP programs
  - now calculated across all threads in all ranks
  - imbalances for MPI and OpenMP combined
    - Can choose to see imbalance in each programming model separately
    - See next slide for details
- Data displayed by default in `pat_report`
  - no additional options needed
  - Report focuses on where program is spending its time
  - Assumes all requested resources should be used
    - you may have reasons not to want to do this, of course

# Memory usage

- Knowing how much memory each rank uses is important:
  - What is the minimum number of cores I can run this problem on?
    - given there is 64GB (~62GB usable) of memory per node (24 cores)
  - Does memory usage scale well in the application?
  - Is memory usage balanced across the ranks in the application?
  - Is my application spending too much time allocating and freeing?

# Heap statistics

Memory per rank  
~62GB usable memory per node

Notes for table 5:

Table option:

-O heap\_hiwater

Options implied by table option:

-d am@,ub,ta,ua,tf,nf,ac,ab -b pe=[mmm]

Too many allocs/frees?

Would show up as ETC time in CrayPAT report

This table shows only lines with Tracked Heap HiWater MBytes > 0.

Table 5: Heap Stats during Main Program

Tracked Heap HiWater MBytes	Total Allocs	Total Frees	Tracked Objects Not Freed	Tracked MBytes Not Freed	PE [mmm]
9.794	915	910	4	1.011	Total
9.943	1170	1103	68	1.046	pe.0
9.909	715	712	3	1.010	pe.22
9.446	1278	1275	3	1.010	pe.43

Memory leaks  
Not usually a problem in HPC

# Summary

- Profiling is essential to identify performance bottlenecks
  - even at single core level
- CrayPAT has some very useful extra features
  - can pinpoint and characterise the hotspot loops (not just routines)
  - hardware performance counters give extra insight into performance
  - well-integrated view of hybrid programming models
    - most commonly MPI/OpenMP
    - also CAF, UPC, SHMEM, pthreads, OpenACC, CUDA
  - information on memory usage
- And remember the Golden Rules
  - including the one about not believing what anyone tells you