



# Advanced OpenMP

Lecture 12: Tips, tricks and gotchas

---

- Mistyping the sentinel (e.g. `!OMP` or `#pragma opm` ) typically raises no error message.
  - Be careful!
- The macro `_OPENMP` is defined if code is compiled with the OpenMP switch.
- You can use this to conditionally compile code so that it works with and without OpenMP enabled.
- If you want to link dummy OpenMP library routines into sequential code, there is code in the standard you can copy (Appendix B)

- The overhead of executing a parallel region is typically in the 10-100 microseconds range
  - depends on compiler, hardware, no. of threads
- You can use the EPCC OpenMP microbenchmarks to do detailed measurements of overheads on your system.
- Download from [www.epcc.ed.ac.uk/research/computing/performance-characterisation-and-benchmarking](http://www.epcc.ed.ac.uk/research/computing/performance-characterisation-and-benchmarking)
- The sequential execution time of a section of code has to be several times this to make it worthwhile parallelising.
- If a code section is only sometimes long enough, use the **if** clause to decide at runtime whether to go parallel or not.
  - Overhead on one thread is typically much smaller ( $<1\mu\text{s}$ ).

## Is my loop parallelisable?

- Quick and dirty test for whether the iterations of a loop are independent.
- Run the loop in reverse order!!
- Not infallible, but counterexamples are quite hard to construct.

```
#pragma omp parallel
{
#pragma omp for schedule(static) nowait
    for(i=0;i<N;i++){
        a[i] = ....
    }
#pragma omp for schedule(static)
    for(i=0;i<N;i++){
        ... = a[i]
    }
}
```

- This is safe so long as the number of iterations in the two loops and the schedules are the same (must be static, but you can specify a chunksize)
- Guaranteed to get same mapping of iterations to threads.

- Note that the default schedule for loops with no schedule clause is implementation defined.
- Doesn't have to be `STATIC`.
- In practice, in all implementations I know of, it is.
- Nevertheless you should not rely on this!

- Tuning the chunksize for static or dynamic schedules can be tricky because the optimal chunksize can depend quite strongly on the number of threads.
- It's often more robust to tune the *number of chunks per thread* and derive the chunksize from that.
  - chunksize expression does not have to be a compile-time constant

- Both constructs cause a code block to be executed by one thread only, while the others skip it: which should you use?
- MASTER has lower overhead (it's just a test, whereas SINGLE requires some synchronisation).
- But beware that MASTER has no implied barrier!
- If you expect some threads to arrive before others, use SINGLE.



- Can't use loop directives directly to parallelise Fortran 90 array syntax
- WORKSHARE is a worksharing directive (!) which allows parallelisation of Fortran 90 array operations, WHERE and FORALL constructs.

- Syntax:

```
!$OMP WORKSHARE
```

```
    block
```

```
!$OMP END WORKSHARE [NOWAIT]
```

- Simple example

```
REAL A(100,200), B(100,200), C(100,200)
```

```
...
```

```
!$OMP PARALLEL
```

```
!$OMP WORKSHARE
```

```
    A=B+C
```

```
!$OMP END WORKSHARE
```

```
!$OMP END PARALLEL
```

- N.B. No schedule clause: distribution of work units to threads is entirely up to the compiler!
- If the compiler doesn't do a good job, you may need to expose a loop explicitly.
- There is a synchronisation point at the end of the workshare: all threads must finish their work before any thread can proceed

- Can also contain array intrinsic functions, WHERE and FORALL constructs, scalar assignment to shared variables, ATOMIC and CRITICAL directives.
- No branches in or out of block.
- No function calls except array intrinsics and those declared ELEMENTAL.
- Combined directive:

```
!$OMP PARALLEL WORKSHARE
```

```
block
```

```
!$OMP END PARALLEL WORKSHARE
```

- Example:

```
!$OMP PARALLEL WORKSHARE
```

```
    A = B + C
```

```
    WHERE (D .ne. 0) E = 1/D
```

```
!$OMP ATOMIC
```

```
    t = t + SUM(F)
```

```
    FORALL (i=1:n, X(i)=0) X(i) = 1
```

```
!$OMP END PARALLEL WORKSHARE
```

- Don't forget that private variables are uninitialised on entry to parallel regions!
- Can use **firstprivate**, but it's more likely to be an error.
- Always, always use **default (none)**
  - I mean always. No exceptions!
  - Everybody suffers from “variable blindness”.

# Spot the bug!

```
#pragma omp parallel for shared (a,b,c,d,N,M) \
private (temp)

    for (i=0;i<N;i++) {
        for (j=0;j<M;j++) {
            temp = b[i]*c[j];
            a[i][j] = temp * temp + d[i];
        }
    }
```

- May always get the right result with sufficient compiler optimisation!

- What should I do in this situation?

```
do i=1,n
```

```
..... several pages of code referencing 100+  
variables
```

```
end do
```

- Determining the correct scope (private/shared/reduction) for all those variables is tedious, error prone and difficult to test adequately.

- Refactor sequential code to

```
do i=1,n
```

```
    call loopbody(.....)
```

```
end do
```

- Make all loop temporary variables local to loopbody
- Pass the rest through argument list
- Much easier to test for correctness!
- Then parallelise.....



# Reduction race trap

```
#pragma omp parallel shared(sum, b)
{
    sum = 0.0;
#pragma omp for reduction(+:sum)
    for(i=0;i<n:i++) {
        sum += b[i];
    }
    .... = sum;
}
```

- There is a race between the initialisation of **sum** and the updates to it at the end of the loop.

```
double foo;
```

```
extern double foo;
```

```
#pragma omp parallel \
```

```
private(foo)
```

```
{
```

```
    foo = ....
```

```
    a = somefunc();
```

```
}
```

```
double sumfunc(void) {
```

```
    ... = foo;
```

```
}
```

- Unspecified whether the reference to **foo** in **somefunc** is to the original storage or the private copy.
- Unportable and therefore unusable!
- If you want access to the private copy, pass it through the argument list.

- Compiling my sequential code with the OpenMP flag caused it to break: what happened?
- You may have a bug in your code which is assuming that the contents of a local variable are preserved between function calls.
  - compiling with OpenMP flag forces all local variables to be stack allocated and not heap allocated
  - might also cause stack overflow
- Need to use SAVE or static correctly
  - but these variables are then shared by default
  - may need to make them threadprivate
  - “first time through” code may need refactoring (e.g. execute it before the parallel region)

- You can't protect updates to shared variables in one place with atomic and another with critical, if they might contend.
- No mutual exclusion between these
  - critical protects code, atomic protects memory locations.

```
#pragma omp parallel
{
#pragma omp critical
    a+=2;
#pragma omp atomic
    a+=3;
}
```

- Sometimes you want to allocate some storage whose size is determined by the number of threads.
  - but how do you know how many threads the next parallel region will use?
- Can call `omp_get_max_threads()` which returns the value of the *nthreads-var* ICV. The number of threads used for the next parallel region will not exceed this
  - except if a `num_threads` clause is used.
- Note that the implementation can always deliver fewer threads than this value
  - if your code depends on there actually being a certain number of threads, you should always call `omp_get_num_threads()` to check

- If you have large private data structures, it is possible to run out of stack space.
- The size of thread stack *apart from the master thread* can be controlled by the **OMP\_STACKSIZE** environment variable.
- The size of the master thread's stack is controlled in the same way as for sequential program (e.g. using **ulimit** ).
  - OpenMP can't control this as by the time the runtime is called it's too late!

- There are some environment variables you should set to maximise performance.
  - don't rely on the defaults for these!

**OMP\_WAIT\_POLICY=active**

- Encourages idle threads to spin rather than sleep

**OMP\_DYNAMIC=false**

- Don't let the runtime deliver fewer threads than you asked for

**OMP\_PROC\_BIND=true**

- Prevents threads migrating between cores

- Traditional debuggers such as DDT or Totalview have support for OpenMP
- This is good, but they are not much help for tracking down race conditions
  - debugger changes the timing of event on different threads
- Race detection tools work in a different way
  - capture all the memory accesses during a run, then analyse this data for races which *might have* occurred.
- Intel Inspector XE
- Oracle Solaris Studio (collect and discover tools, also works on Linux)



- Standard profilers (gprof, IDE profilers) can be confusing
  - they typically accumulate the time spent in functions across all threads.
- You can get a lot out of using timers ( `omp_get_wtime()` )
- Add timers round every parallel region, and round the whole code.
  - work out which parallel regions have the worst speedup
  - don't assume the time spent outside parallel regions is independent of the number of threads.

- Vampir/Vampirtrace
  - timeline traces can be very useful for visualising load balance
- Intel Vtune
- Scalasca
  - breaks down overheads into different categories
- Rogue Wave Threadspotter
  - statistical memory profiler
  - uses tracing and simulation
  - very good for finding cache/memory problems, including false sharing.