CFD example

Regular domain decomposition



Fluid Dynamics

- The study of the mechanics of fluid flow, liquids and gases in motion.
- Commonly requires HPC.
- Continuous systems typically described by partial differential equations.
- For a computer to simulate these systems, these equations must be *discretised* onto a grid.
- One such discretisation approach is the *finite difference method*.
- This method states that the value at any point in the grid is some combination of the neighbouring points





The Problem

- Determining the flow pattern of a fluid in a cavity
 - a square box
 - inlet on one side
 - outlet on the other



• For simplicity, assuming zero viscosity.





The Maths

- In two dimensions, easiest to work with the stream function $|\Psi|$
- At zero viscosity, Ψ satisfies:

$$\nabla^2 \Psi = \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

• With finite difference form:

$$\Psi_{i-1,j} + \Psi_{i+1,j} + \Psi_{i,j-1} + \Psi_{i,j+1} - 4\Psi_{i,j} = 0$$

- Jacobi Method can be used to find solutions:
 - With boundary values fixed, stream function can be calculated for each point in the grid by averaging the value at that point with its four nearest neighbours.
 - Process continues until the algorithm converges on a solution which stays unchanged by the averaging.





The Maths

- In order to obtain the flow pattern of the fluid in the cavity we want to compute the velocity field: u
- The x and y components are related to the stream function by:

$$u_x = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{2}(\Psi_{i,j+1} - \Psi_{i,j-1})$$

$$u_y = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2}(\Psi_{i-1,j} - \Psi_{i+1,j})$$

- General approach is therefore:
 - Calculate the stream function.
 - Use this to calculate the two dimensions of the velocity.





Parallel Programming – Grids

- Both stages involve calculating the value at each grid point by combining it with the value of its neighbours.
- Same amount of work needed to calculate each grid point ideal for the regular domain decomposition approach.
- Grid is broken up into smaller grids for each processor.







Parallel Programming – Halo Swapping

- Points on the edge of a grid present a challenge. Required data is shipped to a remote processor. Processes must therefore communicate.
- Solution is for processor grid to have a boundary layer on adjoining sides.
- Layer is not writable by the local process.
- Updated by another process which in turn will have a boundary updated by the local process.
- Layer is generally known as a *halo* and the inter-process communication which ensures their data is correct and up to date is a *halo swap*.



Characterising Performance

- Speed up (S) is how much faster the parallel version runs compared to a non-parallel version.
- Efficiency (*E*) is how effectively the available processing power is being used.

$$S = \frac{T_1}{T_N} \qquad E = \frac{S}{N} = \frac{T_1}{NT_N}$$

- Where:
 - N number of processors
 - T_1 time taken on 1 processor
 - T_N time taken on N processors





Compiler Implementation and Platform

- Three compilers on ARCHER: Cray, Intel and GNU.
- Cray and Intel: more optimisations on by default, likely to give more performance out-of-thebox.
- ARCHER is a Cray system using Intel processors. Cray compiler tuned for the platform, Intel compiler tuned for the hardware.



• GNU compiler likely to require additional compiler options...



Compiler Optimisation Options

- Flags for the compiler. Can be set on the command line or in the Makefile.
- Standard levels:
 - O3 Aggressive
 - O2 Suggested
 - O Conservative
 - O0 Off (for debugging)
- Finer tuning available. Details in compiler man pages.
- Higher levels aren't always better. Increased code size from some optimisations may negatively impact cache interactions.
- Can increase compilation time.





Hyper-Threading

- Intel technology designed to increase performance using simultaneous multi-threading (SMT) techniques.
- Presented as one additional *logical core* per physical one on the system.
- Each ARCHER node therefore reports a total of 48 available processors (can be confirmed by checking /proc/cpuinfo).
- Must be explicitly requested with the "-j 2" option:

```
#PBS -l select=1
aprun -n 48 -j 2 ./myMPIProgram
```

- Hyper-Threading doubles the number of available parallel units per node at no additional resource cost.
- However, performance effects are highly dependent on the application...





Hyper-Threading Performance



- Can have a positive or negative effect on run times.
- Hyper-Threading is a bad idea for the CFD problem.
- Experimentation is key to determining if this technique would be suitable for your code.





Process Placement

- ARCHER is a NUMA system processors access different regions of memory at different speeds.
- Compute nodes have two NUMA regions one for each CPU. Hence 12 cores per region.
- It may be desirable to control which NUMA regions processes are assigned to.
- For example, with hyrbid MPI and OpenMP jobs, it is suggested that processes are placed such that shared-memory threads in the same team access the same local memory.
- Can be controlled with *aprun* flags such as:
 - -N [parallel processes per node]
 - S [parallel processes per NUMA region]
 - -d [threads per parallel process]





Parallel Scaling – Number of Processors

- Addition of parallel resources subject to diminishing returns.
- Depends on scalability of underlying algorithms.
- Any sources of inefficiency are compounded at higher numbers of processes.
- In the CFD example, run time can become dominated by MPI communications rather than actual processing work.

CFD Code	Iterations:	10,000 Sc	ale Factor: 70	
MPI procs	Time	Sp	eedup l	Efficiency
	1	331.34	1.00	1.00
	2	180.30	1.84	0.92
	4	132.16	2.51	0.63
	8	121.23	2.73	0.34
	16	89.02	3.72	0.23
	24	58.70	5.64	0.24







Parallel Scaling – Problem Size

- Problem scale affects memory interactions notably cache accesses.
- Additional processors provide additional cache space.
- Can lead to more, or even all, of a program's working set being available at the cache level.
- Configurations that achieve this will show a sudden efficiency "spike".

CFD Code	Iteration	s: 10000	Scale Fac		
MPI procs	Time		Speedup	Efficier	су
	1	331.34	ŀ	1.00	1.00
	48	23.27	7	14.24	0.30
	96	2.37	7	139.61	1.45

• 2x the number of MPI processes gives ~9.8x the speed up.





CFD Speedup on ARCHER







CFD Speedup on HECToR







ARCHER-S	caleFactor	r 10			ARCHER-Sca	leFactor 20		
MPI procs	Time	:	Speedup	Efficiency	MPI procs	Time	Speedup	Efficiency
	1	2.91	1.00	1.00		1 11.9	2 1.00	1.00
	2	1.52	1.91	0.96		2 6.2	1 1.92	0.96
	4	0.84	3.47	0.87		4 3.3	3.52	0.88
	8	0.47	6.22	0.78		8 1.8	6.41	0.80
	16	0.20	14.46	0.90	1	6 1.0	0 11.91	0.74
	24	0.15	19.92	0.83	2	4 0.6	8 17.52	0.73
	32	0.15	19.45	0.61	3	2 0.5	7 21.03	0.66
	48	0.12	23.90	0.50	4	8 0.3	7 31.95	0.67
	80	0.11	25.63	0.32	8	0 0.2	5 48.43	0.61
	96	0.10	28.95	0.30	9	6 0.2	2 53.17	0.55
	120	0.15	19.78	0.16	12	0 0.2	59.86	0.50
	160	0.10	28.36	0.18	16	0 0.1	8 67.90	0.42
	240	0.08	35.14	0.15	24	0 0.1	6 76.77	0.32
	480	0.08	35.87	0.07	48	0 0.1	6 75.94	0.16
HECToR-ScaleFactor 10		10			HECToR-Scal	eFactor 20		
MPI procs	Time	:	Speedup	Efficiency	MPI procs	Time	Speedup	Efficiency
	1	8.91	1.00	1.00		1 48.4	2 1.00	1.00
	2	8.01	1.11	0.56		2 44.3	0 1.09	0.55
	4	2.77	3.21	0.80		4 30.6	8 1.58	0.39
	8	1.12	7.99	1.00		8 11.9	7 4.04	0.51
	16	0.61	14.56	0.91	1	6 3.3	4 14.49	0.91
	24	0.46	19.16	0.80	2	4 1.7	1 28.27	1.18
	32	0.37	24.28	0.76	3	2 1.2	9 37.59	1.17
	48	0.29	31.00	0.65	4	8 0.8	9 54.28	1.13
	80	0.22	39.80	0.50	8	0.6	2 78.63	0.98
	96	0.21	43.06	0.45	9	6 0.5	5 88.33	0.92
	120	0.19	46.47	0.39	12	0 0.4	8 100.57	0.84
	160	0.17	51.25	0.32	16	0 0.4	1 118.94	0.74
	240	0.16	54.58	0.23	24	0 03	4 143.04	0.60
	210	0.10	0	0.20		0.0	1 10101	







ARCHER-S	caleFac	tor 100			ARCHER-Sca	aleFa	ctor 150		
MPI procs	Tir	ne Sp	beedup	Efficiency	MPI procs	Ti	me	Speedup	Efficiency
	1	694.66	1.00	1.00		1	1577.00	1.00	1.00
	2	378.47	1.84	0.92		2	856.87	1.84	0.92
	4	272.62	2.55	0.64		4	617.34	2.55	0.64
	8	250.92	2.77	0.35		8	569.49	2.77	0.35
	16	184.39	3.77	0.24		16	423.34	3.73	0.23
	24	121.45	5.72	0.24		24	280.15	5.63	0.23
	32	88.64	7.84	0.24		32	207.53	7.60	0.24
	48	56.98	12.19	0.25		48	134.89	11.69	0.24
	80	31.66	21.94	0.27		80	77.95	20.23	0.25
	96	25.26	27.50	0.29		96	69.59	22.66	0.24
	120	13.89	50.02	0.42	1	120	53.61	29.42	0.25
	160	4.68	148.34	0.93	1	160	37.43	42.14	0.26
	240	1.83	379.89	1.58	2	240	19.89	79.30	0.33
	480	1.07	648.81	1.35	2	180	4.96	317.79	0.66
HECToR-So	caleFact	tor 100			HECToR-Scal	leFac	tor 150		
MPI procs	Tir	me Sp	peedup	Efficiency	MPI procs	Ti	me	Speedup	Efficiency
	1	1229.85	1.00	1.00		1	2794.46	1.00	1.00
	2	1135.95	1.08	0.54		2	2545.46	1.10	0.55
	4	810.08	1.52	0.38		4	1823.64	1.53	0.38
	8	803.56	1.53	0.19		8	1803.73	1.55	0.19
	16	404.02	3.04	0.19		16	903.92	3.09	0.19
	24	270.39	4.55	0.19		24	604.05	4.63	0.19
	32	203.32	6.05	0.19		32	454.35	6.15	0.19
	48	135.61	9.07	0.19		48	304.80	9.17	0.19
	80	80.72	15.24	0.19		80	183.54	15.23	0.19
	96	66.10	18.61	0.19		96	152.96	18.27	0.19
	120	50.12	24.54	0.20	1	120	122.20	22.87	0.19
	160	31.63	38.88	0.24	1	160	91.26	30.62	0.19
	240	8.23	149.44	0.62	2	240	58.37	47.87	0.20
	480	3.19	385.72	0.80	4	180	11.20	249.48	0.52
\bigcirc)e	arch	ner				e		



